



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,004	10/02/2003	Thomas J. Ribarich	IR-2171 (2-3689)	9223

7590 11/30/2006

OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP  
Attorneys at Law  
1180 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10036-8403

EXAMINER
----------

SAWHNEY, HARGOBIND S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2875

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/678,004	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RIBARICH, THOMAS J.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Hargobind S. Sawhney	<b>Art Unit</b> 2875	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 March 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-16 and 38-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-16 and 38-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                        | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

### DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on September 6, 2006 has been entered. Accordingly:

- Claims 1, 6 and 38 have been amended; and
- Claims 3, 5 and 17-37 have been canceled.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claim 1, 2, 4, 6-16 and 38-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muessli (US Patent No.: 6,548,948 B1) in view of Mies et al. (WO 96/13048), hereinafter as Mies.

Regarding claim 1, Muessli ('948 B1) discloses a compact florescent lamp package (Figures 1-3) comprising:

- a base 10 electrically connectable to the electrical socket capable for receiving an ordinary incandescent lamp (Figure 3, column 4, line 9);
- the base 10 including an open end 14, a closed end 13 and a wall 11 enclosing space (Figure 3, column 4, lines 35-37);
- a multi-chip module including a ballast circuit 40 on a circuit board 41 (Figure 3, column 4, lines 38-41); and

Art Unit: 2875

- the multi-chip module being contained entirely within the space defined in the base 10 (Figure 3, column 4, lines 38 and 39), and the multi-chip module being electrically connected to the base 10 with the element 48 (Figure 3); and
- the circuit board 41 including opposing surfaces, one surface, bearing elements 15, facing the opening and the other surface, bearing elements 48, facing the closed end 13 (Figure 3);
- a fluorescent lamp 31 extending away from the base 10 (Figures 1-3), and operatively connected to the ballast circuit 40 (Figures 1 and 3, column 3, lines 66 and 67, and column 4, lines 1-4);
- the multi chip module including elements 43 mounted on a single circuit board 41 integral with a sub-circuit board 42 (Figure 3, column 5, lines 9-16).

However, Muesli ('948 B1) does not specifically teach:

- a thermally conductive body disposed within the base; and the thermally conductive base thermally connecting the base to said ballast circuit.

On the other hand, Mies disclose a compact fluorescent lamp package (Figure 1) comprising a base 3 housing ballast module B (Figure 1, page 3, lines 27 and 28). Mies further teaches the cavity space of the base 3 including thermally conductive body D for mechanical stability and improved thermal management (Figure 1, Page 2, lines 16-32). Additionally, Mies teaches the thermally conductive body thermally connecting the wall 6 of the screw base 3 to the ballast circuit directly (Figure 1).

It would be have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the compact Fluorescent lamp package of Muessli ('948 B1) by filling thermal epoxy compound as taught by Mies for benefit and advantage of efficiently dissipating heat to the outer casing, and providing mechanical stability to the circuit board, and improving efficiency and operational life of the device.

Regarding claims 2, 4, 6, 9, 10, 12-16, Muessli in view of Mies discloses the compact florescent lamp package (Figures 1-3 and 8) comprising:

- a diffuser cover 1 enveloping the fluorescent lamp 30, and providing appearance of an ordinary incandescent lamp, and directly connected to the base 10 (Muessli, Figure 8, column 5, lines 54-56); the base 10 being an Edison screw base (Figures 1-3 and 8);
- the multi-chip module 40 mounted on a single circuit board 41 (Muessli, Column 4, lines 38-41);
- the ballast circuit 40 including elements mounted on both sides of the circuit board 41 (Muessli, Column 2, lines 63-65, and Column 4, lines 38-41);
- the thermally conductive body being a thermal epoxy (Mies, Figure 1, Page 2, lines 16-32);
- the circuit board 41 having its parameters conforming the base configuration (Muessli, Figure 3);
- the circuit board 41 being generally rectangular circuit board (Muessli, Figure 3);

Art Unit: 2875

- the wall of the base 11 serving as a connector connecting the lamp 31 to first pole of a power line and the closed end 12 of the base insulated from the wall, and further connecting to the second pole of the power line (Muessli, Figure 3, column 3, lines 60-65);
- the multi-chip module 40 electrically connected to the wall 11 of the screw base via a first wire 15 (Muessli, Figure 3, column 4, lines 24-28), and the multi-chip module 40 further electrically connected to a connector 13 via a second wire 49 (Muessli, Figure 3, column 3, lines 59-64);
- the fluorescent lamp 30 connected to multi-chip module 40 via respective filament terminals 50 (Muessli, Figure 4, column 3, lines 8-12);
- the multi-chip module 40 including a circuit board 41 with a heatsink 10 disposed on its surface , and the heatsink 10 – lamp base - being thermally connected through the circuit board 40 to the heat generating components including elements 43, 45 and 46 (Muessli, Figure 3, column 2, lines 9-14);

Regarding claims 7 and 8, each dependent on Claim 6, Muessli ('948 B1) in view of Mies discloses the compact florescent lamp package comprising a circuit board carrying electronic components on its both sides. However, neither combined nor individual teaching of Muessli ('948 B1) and Miles teaches specifically a circuit board carrying design dependent electronic components on one side, and the design-independent electronic components on another side opposing side.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to position design dependent electronic components on one side of the circuit board, and the design-independent electronic components on another side opposing side , since it has been held that rearranging parts of a prior art structure involves only routing skill in the art. Further rearrangement of electronic components would facilitate assembly of the device in an orderly manner.

Regarding Claim 11, dependent on Claim 1, Muessli ('948 B1) in view of Mies discloses the compact florescent lamp package comprising a generally rectangular circuit board. However, neither combined nor individual teaching of Muessli and Mies teaches specifically a circular circuit board.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a generally circular circuit board instead of a generally rectangular one, since it has been held that a change in shape or configuration, without any criticality, is nothing more than one of numerous shapes that one of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claims 38-42, Muessli ('948 B1) in view of Mies meets the limitations in similar manner as that detailed above for the rejections of claims 1, 2, 4, 6 and 9.

### ***Response to Amendment***

4. Applicant's arguments filed on September 6, 2006 with respect to the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of claims 1 and 38 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Argument: Regarding each of the amended claims 1 and 38, Muessli ('948 B1) in view of and Miles (WIPO Patent No.: WO 96/13048) discloses a compact fluorescent lamp including two circuit boards 41 and 42 for complete ballast. Whereas, each of amended claims 1 and 38 recite the limitation " the multi chip module formed on a single circuit board.

Response: Muessli ('948 B1) clearly teaches the second circuit board 42 being integral to the main circuit board after the multi chip ballast is mounted on the circuit board 41 (Figure 3, column 5, lines 9-12). Therefore, Muessli ('948 B1) in view of and Miles (WIPO Patent No.: WO 96/13048) meets the limitations of each of the amended claims 1 and 38.

Argument: Regarding claim 6, Muessli ('948 B1) in view of and Miles (WIPO Patent No.: WO 96/13048) discloses a compact fluorescent lamp including a circuit board including wiring on both sides. Thus, Muessli ('948 B1) does not teach the ballast circuit on both sides of the circuit board 41 as claimed by the applicant.

Response: Muessli ('948 B1) clearly teaches the circuit board supporting all electric and electronic components on both sides (Figure 3, column 4, lines 40 and 41). Therefore, Muessli ('948 B1) in view of and Miles (WIPO Patent No.: WO 96/13048) meets the limitations of claim 6.



***Conclusion***

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hargobind S Sawhney whose telephone number is 571 272 2380. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571 272 2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2875

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HSS

11/22/2006

  
**ALI ALAVI**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**